

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/558,589	04/26/2000	Amro A Younes	53921/79	1057
27155	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
MCCARTHY TETRAULT LLP			, PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M	
BOX 48, SUITE 4700, 66WELLINGTON STREET WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TORONTO, ON M5K 1E6			2665	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I	<del></del>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Response to Rule 312 Communication	09/558,589	YOUNES ET AL.
Neoponeo to Naio 0.2 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit
	Justin M. Philpott	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address –
<ol> <li>The amendment filed on <u>18 July 2005</u> under 37 CFR 1.3</li> <li>a) ☐ entered.</li> </ol>	12 has been considered, and has be	en:
b) $\square$ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	the scope of the invention.	: :
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee and the required fee to withdraw the application	e is paid must be accompanied by a	petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)
d) disapproved. See explanation below.		
e) 🛛 entered in part. See explanation below.		• •
The amendment to the claims (adding the words "and" and entered.		
The amendment to the specification at page 14, line 18 (to	include the word "to") has been ente	ered.
However, the amendment to the specification at page 3, lir language which is not clearly enabled by applicant's original amendment to the specification "track[s] the claims as amendment to the specification, in excess of five pages, deapplicant's originally filed specification. Accordingly, this p	ally filed specification. While applical ended", it is not readily apparent to th oes in fact directly correspond to app	nt asserts that the e Examiner that this licant's allowed claims or
	·	Alam v. rgs
		ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER